Logical Argument 🚜 G.3.C, G.3.E

A logical argument has two given statements, called **premises**, and a statement, called a **conclusion**, that follows from the premises. Below is an example.

| Premise 1 | If a triangle has a right angle, then it is a right triangle. |
|------------|---|
| Premise 2 | In $\triangle ABC$, $\angle B$ is a right angle. |
| Conclusion | $\triangle ABC$ is a right triangle. |

Letters are often used to represent the statements of a logical argument and to write a pattern for the argument. The table below gives five types of logical arguments. In the examples, *p*, *q*, and *r* represent the following statements.

q: a figure is a rectangle

| Type of Argument | Pattern | Example |
|-------------------|--|---|
| Direct Argument | If <i>p</i> is true, then <i>q</i> is true. <i>p</i> is true. Therefore, <i>q</i> is true. | If <i>ABCD</i> is a square, then it is a rectangle. <i>ABCD</i> is a square. Therefore, <i>ABCD</i> is a rectangle. |
| Indirect Argument | If <i>p</i> is true, then <i>q</i> is true. <i>q</i> is not true. Therefore, <i>p</i> is not true. | If <i>ABCD</i> is a square, then it is a rectangle. <i>ABCD</i> is not a rectangle. Therefore, <i>ABCD</i> is not a square. |
| Chain Rule | If <i>p</i> is true, then <i>q</i> is true. If <i>q</i> is true, then <i>r</i> is true. Therefore, if <i>p</i> , then <i>r</i> . | If <i>ABCD</i> is a square, then it is a rectangle. If <i>ABCD</i> is a rectangle, then it is a parallelogram. Therefore, if <i>ABCD</i> is a square, then it is a parallelogram. |
| <i>Or</i> Rule | <i>p</i> is true or <i>q</i> is true. <i>p</i> is not true. Therefore, <i>q</i> is true. | <i>ABCD</i> is a square or a rectangle. <i>ABCD</i> is not a square. Therefore, <i>ABCD</i> is a rectangle. |
| And Rule | <i>p</i> and <i>q</i> are not both true. <i>q</i> is true. Therefore, <i>p</i> is not true. | <i>ABCD</i> is not both a square and a rectangle. <i>ABCD</i> is a rectangle. Therefore, <i>ABCD</i> is not a square. |

r: a figure is a parallelogram

An argument that follows one of these patterns correctly has a valid conclusion.

EXAMPLE

p: a figure is a square

State whether the conclusion is *valid* or *invalid*. If the conclusion is valid, name the type of logical argument used.

- **a.** If it is raining at noon, Peter's family will not have a picnic lunch. Peter's family had a picnic lunch. Therefore, it was not raining at noon.
 - > The conclusion is valid. This is an example of indirect argument.
- **b.** If a triangle is equilateral, then it is an acute triangle. Triangle *XYZ* is an acute triangle. Therefore, triangle *XYZ* is equilateral.
 - ▶ The conclusion is invalid.
- **c.** If x = 4, then 2x 7 = 1. If 2x 7 = 1, then 2x = 8. x = 4. Therefore, if x = 4, then 2x = 8.
 - > The conclusion is valid. This is an example of the chain rule.
- **d.** If it is at least 80°F outside today, you will go swimming. It is 85°F outside today. Therefore, you will go swimming.
 - > The conclusion is valid. This is an example of direct argument.